

2021-03-24 5G-xHaul Meeting notes

24 Mar 2021 | 3am PDT | 6am EDT | **10:00 UTC** | 10:00 GMT | 11:00 CET | 12:00 EET | 15:30 IST | 18:00 CST | 19:00 JST |

Web Conference:

<https://thorsten-heinze-telefonica-de.webex.com/join/andreas.lattoch.external>

Invited:

- Andrea Delmonte
- @Andreas Lattoch
- Alok Surve
- Daniela Spreafico
- Daniel Perez Calvo
- Danilo Pala
- Eduardo Yusta
- Hubing
- Hsudarsa
- Jorge Lopez
- Istvan Vencz
- Jasper Yang
- Leo
- Ma Yong
- Michael Binder
- Nader Zein
- Paul Parva
- Pawel Krecicki
- Petr Jurcik
- Prathiba
- Roberto Servadio
- Shuzhan
- Thomas Seitz
- Thomas Schulze
- Tian Zhu
- Thorsten Heinze
- Yossi
- Zhang Dong

Info to:

- Tracy Van Brakle

Goals

- going forward

(please feel free to correct and update your names 😊 Thank you very much!!!)

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
00:00	chair topic		no update
	Admin	Martin Skorupski	Daylight savings start in most parts of Europe 2021-03-28 proposed times for next week onwards 2am PDT 5am EDT 09:00 UTC 10:00 BST 11:00 CEST 12:00 EEST 14:30 IST 17:00 CST 18:00 JST
00:05	Admin		Next meetings 2020-03-31: Martin Skorupski 2020-04-07: Martin Skorupski 2020-04-14: Martin Skorupski 2020-04-21: Martin Skorupski

00:10	Firmware	Thorsten Heinze @Eduardo Yusta	<p>UML and YANG are out for review</p> <p>https://github.com/openBackhaul/firmware/tree/tsp</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for approval for integration into TR532. <p>Last minute questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> about 'firmware-component-activation-date': if the 'firmware-component-list' instance has been activated using the upper 'firmware-component-list' (with 'firmware-component-class = Package), what has to be the content of this attribute? The same as reported on upper 'firmware-component-list' or a default one? <p>[sko] same as on the upper - no updates needed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> About 'related-kinds-of-equipment-list' (in capability container): perhaps I missed something in our last meeting. <p>The Description reports "... Values should be identical with entries in Equipment::ManufacturedThing::EquipmentType::modelIdentifier attribute. Might be empty, if firmwareComponentClass==PACKAGE.";</p> <p>Does 'EquipmentType' mean something already present on an instance of Equipment ? And if not yet configured ? Do you mean that when the associated Equipment instance is created the capability container has to be updated?</p> <p>[sko] no - values was known in advance</p> <p>And what about to have an EMPTY list? [sko] nothing special No problem on RESTCONF?</p> <p>[sko] no - the attribute is not showing up in NETCONF.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For each 'firmware-component-list' instance the 'subordinate-firmware-component-list' reports all the 'firmware-component-list' instances associated to. <p>And for the contrary?</p> <p>To understand if a 'firmware-component-list' instance is part of an upper 'firmware-component-list' instance' it is needed to pass all the 'firmware-component-list' instances to find it on a 'subordinate-firmware-component-list'. Is it fine for an application layer?</p> <p>[sko] yes – it is fine – we discussed and wanted to avoid references in two direction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> activation-available <p>Which is the expected value for the "package"?</p> <p>Answer: Value should be "true"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> firmware-component-status <p>What is the expected value for the "boot-component"?</p> <p>Answer: in the discussed use case it should be "active", because it was active in the last boot process.</p> <p>Any objections?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> no objection, but one abstain <p>>> Accepted - The FirmwareModel should be integrated into TR532. Thanks a lot!</p> <p>Next steps?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> combination with other Interface update - compilation of a new document later.
00:40	AirInterface Issue #36	Thorsten Heinze	<p>Different multiplicity at problemKindSeverityList and supportedAlarmList</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for approval to as proposed. <p>Any objections?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> no objections accepted <p>Next steps?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> UML and YANG to be updated
00:35	AirInterface Issue #37	Thorsten Heinze	<p>Scope of transmission-mode-list/xpic-is-available?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue the discussion about the proposed solution <p>Proposal made - review starts - approval for 2021-04-07 expected.</p>

	End of the meeting		
00:xx	AirInterface Issue #41	Thorsten Heinze	<p>XltsThresholdCrossAlarmType::xltsLevel should have a default value</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Request to agree on meeting at 31-03-2021 ▪ Any objections so far about the proposed solution?
00:xx	<p>AirInterface Issues</p> <p>22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30 and 32</p>	Thorsten Heinze	<p>xlts-threshold-cross-alarm-list: Threshold cannot be identified if being changed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ intent to close issues are they are for all the listed issues.

Action items